

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,074	11/19/2003	Peter Dean Swartz	GENSP052	1073	
22434	7590 08/23/2006		EXAMINER		
	AVER & THOMAS,	RICHER, AARON M			
P.O. BOX 702		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
OAKLAND,	CA 94612-0250		2628		

DATE MAILED: 08/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/707,074	SWARTZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summ	ary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Aaron M. Richer	2628	
The MAILING DATE of this of Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date o - If NO period for reply is specified above, the m - Failure to reply within the set or extended perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended per	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 f this communication. aximum statutory period w od for reply will, by statute, e months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>31 Ju</u>	ly 2006.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in co	ondition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with th	e practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-15,18-25 and</u>	29-34 is/are pendi	ng in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowe	d.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-15,18-25 and</u>	29-34 is/are reject	ed.		
7) Claim(s) is/are object				
8)☐ Claim(s) are subject t	o restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examine	•		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	_ is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the l	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that	any objection to the o	Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
		on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	•	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is obj	ected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of	~	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ No				
1. Certified copies of the	•			
		have been received in Applicati		
•	•	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
application from the In		of the certified copies not receive	ad	
occ the attached detailed Offi	oo addon for a list (or the contined copies flot receive		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary		
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC 		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:		

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 12, and 23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-4, 8, 11-15, 19, 22-25, 30, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perlman (U.S. Publication 2002/0135696).
- 4. As to claims 1, 12, and 23, Perlman discloses a video processor for providing a single synchronized video stream having a single display video format to a first display device having a first set of display attributes from a number of input video streams of different video formats, comprising:

a number of ports each of which is configured to receive one of the input video streams at a corresponding input video stream clock rate (fig. 2; p. 2, section 0020; multiple communication modules for receiving data are disclosed; these read on ports);

a number of configurable image converter units each coupled to an associated one of the ports for converting the corresponding input video stream to a corresponding converted video stream having the single display video format that is based upon the

set of display attributes (fig. 3a; fig. 3b; the units are configurable based on input format and attributes of a display);

and a frame rate conversion unit configured to synchronize each converted data stream to a selected output frame rate (fig. 3a, the deinterlacer changes the frame rate of the interlaced video to be compatible with a progressive display).

- 5. As to claims 2, 13, and 34, Perlman discloses a configurable real time video processor wherein when a second display unit having a second set of display attributes replaces the first display unit, then the system controller uses the second set of display attributes received from the second display unit to reconfigure the configurable image converter units and the configurable frame rate conversion unit accordingly (fig. 3a-3b; conversion is reconfigured if an interlaced display is replaced with a progressive one or vice versa).
- 6. As to claims 3, 14, and 25, Perlman discloses a processor comprising:
 an image compositor unit arranged to combine the converted data streams to
 form a composited data stream (fig. 3a, element 312);

an image enhancer unit arranged to enhance the composited data stream to form an enhanced data stream (p. 2-3; section 0026; an anti-aliased composited stream reads on an "enhanced" data stream);

a display unit interface arranged process the enhanced data stream to form the display data (fig. 3a, element 314);

and a memory unit bi-directionally coupled to each of the image converter units and the image compositor arranged to store selected portions of selected ones of the

Application/Control Number: 10/707,074 Page 4

Art Unit: 2628

data streams from the image converter units and to provide the selected portions to the image compositor as needed (fig. 2, element 216; p. 2-3; section 0026; buffers hold the foreground and background pixels to be combined).

- 7. As to claims 4, 15, and 24, Perlman discloses a processor wherein the output frame is locked to any of the input video data stream clock rates (fig. 3a, 3b; the frame is locked to either the interlaced or non-interlaced clock rate for compatibility with the display).
- 8. As to claims 8, 19, and 30, Perlman discloses a video receiver port arranged to receive video data at a video clock rate (fig. 2, element 230);

a bi-directional network interface arranged to receive network data from network applications on a network, and transmit data to the network from the real time video processor at a network data clock rate (fig. 2, element 220; a clock rate for data transfer is inherent);

a user interface port arranged to receive user input commands at a user interface clock rate (p. 2, sections 0022-0025; a user interface is disclosed; also note "interactive" content in fig. 2).

9. As to claims 11, 22, and 33, Perlman discloses

an interlacer unit arranged to interlace a progressive scan image when the display unit is an interlaced type display unit (p. 3, section 0028; the flicker filter formats a progressive image for an interlaced display);

Application/Control Number: 10/707,074 Page 5

Art Unit: 2628

and a progressive scan bypass unit arranged to bypass the interlacer when the display unit is a progressive scan type display unit (fig. 3a, no interlacer is used).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 12. Claims 7, 18, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perlman in view of Naegle (U.S. Publication 2004/0012577).
- 13. As to claims 7, 18, and 29, Perlman does not expressly discloses a processor wherein the display frame rate is a free running frame rate. Naegle, however, does disclose a video processor with a free running frame rate. The motivation for this is to provide a larger set of pixel clock frequencies for various formats (p. 1, paragraph

Page 6

0014). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Perlman to use a free running frame rate in order to support more diverse formats as taught by Naegle.

- 14. Claims 9, 20, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perlman.
- 15. As to claims 9, 20, and 31, Perlman does not disclose a processor as an integrated circuit. Official notice has been taken of the fact that graphics processors on integrated circuits are well-known in the art (see MPEP 2144.03). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Perlman to use an integrated circuit in order to make the graphics processor smaller and reduce production costs.
- 16. Claims 10, 21, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perlman in view of Leyvi (U.S. Publication 2003/006752).
- 17. As to claims 10, 21, and 32, Perlman does not expressly disclose basing conversion on a set of Extended Display Identification Data (EDID) attributes. Leyvi, however, discloses a conversion process in which EDID is used to read attributes of a display (p. 3, paragraph 0025). The motivation for this is to easily determine whether a format is compatible with a display (p. 3, paragraph 0025). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify Perlman to read attributes of a display in EDID format in order to determine format compatibility as taught by Leyvi.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron M. Richer whose telephone number is (571) 272-7790. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30AM-5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,074

Art Unit: 2628

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kee Tung can be reached on (571) 272-7794. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AMR 8/17/06

> KEE M. TUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER